1869 Pictorial Issue

The 1869 Pictorial Issue was a transformative set of stamps created during a period of historic transition. The Civil War had ended four years earlier. Congress was controlled by the Radical Republicans, who, after their failed attempt to impeach President Andrew Johnson, had helped elect President Ulysses S. Grant, a sympathetic Republican. The 1869 Pictorial stamps, with their novel shapes and imagery, were created by President Johnson’s postmaster general, Alexander Randall, and inherited by Grant’s new postmaster general, John A. J. Creswell. In the midst of the Reconstruction’s political controversy, the 1869’s were spurned by the public and quickly replaced with the 1870 Issue, bearing traditional portraits of statesmen, war heroes and Founding Fathers.

The four-year 1868 printing contract had also been mired in controversy. Four security printers had submitted bids for the contract: the American Bank Note Company (prior to consolidation), Butler & Carpenter (the successor firm to Toppan, Carpenter & Co. and printers of revenue stamps since 1862), George T. Jones, and the National Bank Note Company (the contract printers since 1861). In July 1868 the Post Office Department awarded the contract to National, despite a lower bid submitted by Butler & Carpenter.

During the bidding process, National argued that they should be awarded the contract based on their ability to grill stamps, using Charles F. Steel’s patented grilling machine, and on the fact that Butler & Carpenter did not have fireproof production and storage facilities. In fact, just four years later Butler & Carpenter’s Philadelphia plant—the Dr. D. Jayne & Son building, named for the patent medicine manufacturer—burned to the ground.

Butler & Carpenter vigorously protested the Post Office Department’s decision for months after the 1869’s had been issued. Joseph R. Carpenter wrote letters to Postmaster General Creswell, imploring him to nullify the National contract and defending Butler & Carpenter against the “insinuations and charges” made by National during the bidding process. It is also possible that Butler & Carpenter was behind a public smear campaign to discredit the stamps.

Contemporary articles criticized the 1869’s shapes, designs and especially the unreliability of the adhesive gum.

By September 1869 the decision had been made to replace the 1869 Pictorial stamps with a new, more conventional issue. The postmaster general’s report (November 15, 1870) explains that the 1869’s “failed to give satisfaction to the public, on account of their small size, their unshapely form, the inappropriateness of their designs, the difficulty of cancelling them effectually, and the inferior quality of the gum used in their manufacture.” Considering the exalted position the 1869 Pictorial Issue has occupied in philately for nearly 150 years, it seems remarkable that these stamps elicited such negative comments from officials and the public.

The 1869 Pictorial Designs

Unlike their predecessors, the ten 1869 stamps were designed in an almost square format. The 1¢ through 12¢ values were printed in sheets of 300—two panes of 150—instead of the regular 200-stamp sheet format. The 15¢, 24¢, 30¢ and 90¢ values were printed in sheets of 100 in two colors, requiring separate plates and printing for the frame and vignette colors. All of the stamps were to be grilled.
Three of the ten 1869 stamps had traditional portraits: the 1¢ Franklin, 6¢ Washington and 90¢ Lincoln, the highest denomination and one of the four bicolored stamps. The other values represented a radical departure from the portraiture of all previous issues. The theme of improved postal communication was chosen for three values: the 2¢ postal carrier on horseback; the 3¢ locomotive train, possibly to celebrate the imminent completion of the transcontinental railroad; and, the 12¢ S.S. Adriatic, the Collins line transatlantic steamer, which was used to carry mail to and from England—the rate to England at the time was 12¢, so the image was an appropriate choice for this denomination.

Michael Laurence has suggested that the imagery on the 1869’s derives from the Postal Reform movement of the 1840’s and 50’s, offering as evidence the 1850’s Barnabas Bates pictorial envelope, which includes three of the design elements of the 1869’s. One could also argue that the whole issue, with its images of America’s past and symbols of communication, was intended to help the war-torn nation heal. It is probable that Postal Reform and Reconstruction both played parts in the symbolism.

The 15¢, 24¢ and 30¢ 1869 Pictorial Designs and Engravers

The vignettes on the 15¢, 24¢ and original 30¢ Burgoyne essay are intricately engraved depictions of historical events, based on oil paintings hanging in the Rotunda of the Capitol. Similar engravings were used on First Charter National currency in 1863.

The overall designs of the 15¢, 24¢ and original 30¢ Burgoyne essay (and 1¢, 6¢ and 90¢ 1869) have been attributed to someone known as “E. Pitcher,” without a full first name. At least one student of security printing has speculated that “E. Pitcher” actually might have been a female artist, the daughter of a family known to the engraver, and that she assisted in the early design phase of the 1869 Pictorial Issue (www.stampnotes.com/Notes_from_the_Past/pastnote317.htm).

All three stamp vignettes were engraved by one of National’s most talented engravers, the Scottish-born James Smillie (1807-1885), who had previously been a partner with Rawdon, Wright and Hatch. Smillie joined National in 1861, and shortly after engraving the 1869’s he moved to the American Bank Note Company.
The 15¢ stamp’s blue vignette is a miniature engraving by Smillie, based on Balch’s engraving from an 1839 oil painting entitled *Landing of Columbus* by John Vanderlyn. A similar engraving by Charles Burt appears on the back of the 1863 First Charter $5 note, and another version was engraved by Alfred Jones and Charles Skinner for the 1893 2¢ Columbian Issue.

The 24¢ vignette was engraved by Smillie from John Trumbull’s oil painting *Declaration of Independence*. There are two versions of this painting; one hangs in the Capitol Rotunda, and the other at Yale University. Contrary to the popular belief that this painting depicts the signing ceremony, Trumbull actually painted a romanticized image of the presentation of the Declaration draft. There are 47 individuals portrayed in the painting, and the tiny engraving captures virtually all of them in minute detail. A similar engraving appears on the back of the 1863 First Charter $100 note, and the vignette is also found on 10¢ 1869 essays.

The frames of the 15¢ and 24¢ (and 90¢) were engraved by Douglas S. Ronaldson (1825-1902), who is also credited with designing and engraving the 10¢ Eagle-and-Shield die, and engraving the 30¢ Eagle-and-Shield and Flags-and-Stars dies, but there is some question about this last attribution (discussed on pages 12-13). The Hessler book states that Ronaldson moved from England to Philadelphia in 1840 and was working as an engraver in 1858. He was employed by National as early as July 1868 and continued with the American Bank Note Company until 1897, from which point he worked for the Bureau of Engraving and Printing until his death in 1902.

The lettering on the 15¢ and 24¢ (and 1¢, 6¢ and 90¢) was engraved by J. C. Kenworthy, about whom Hessler reports, “he was engraving for the National BNCo as early as 1859 and perhaps as late as 1875.”
The original unadopted 30¢ design was similar to the 15¢ and 24¢. The vignette was a detailed miniature engraving from the oil painting *The Surrender of General Burgoyne* by Trumbull. The Burgoyne vignette was masterfully engraved by James Smillie. A similar engraving was made by Frederick Girsch for the 1863 $500 First Charter note.

It has been said that U.S. postal officials rejected the Burgoyne design out of sensitivity to Great Britain. Whether or not this is true can never be known with certainty, but it seems odd that postal officials would be concerned about offending the British with this depiction on a 30¢ stamp, while they were apparently unconcerned about the Declaration of Independence scene on the 24¢ stamp, which was much more likely to be used on mail to Great Britain (the basic rate was 12¢ when the 1869 stamps were issued).

Whatever the reason, the 30¢ Burgoyne design was discarded, and James MacDonough is credited with its replacement, the Eagle-and-Shield with Flags-and-Stars design. This was the first time the American flag appeared on a stamp, and the choice of patriotic red and blue colors on white paper was deliberate. The similar Eagle-and-Shield design without flags was used for the 10¢ 1869 stamp.

There is an unresolved debate over the engravers responsible for the 30¢. Some have attributed the engraving of both the central Eagle-and-Shield and the surrounding Flags-and-Stars to Douglas S. Ronaldson. Others credit another engraver, Luigi (Louis) Delnoce, with execution of the Flags-and-Stars die.

There will probably never be a final answer to this conflicting artist attribution, but stylistically, the delicate engraving of the draped flags fits into Delnoce’s body of work.
Luigi Delnoce (1822-1890) was an Italian-born master engraver who studied with John W. Casilear in the early 1850's and produced numerous engravings for use on stamps and bank notes. His son, Louis Jr., also became a security engraver.

Delnoce is best known for engraving the “Lazy Deuce” $2 currency note, so named because the large numeral “2” is on its side, rather than upright. The same note bears a beautiful engraving of Stars-and-Stripes, a female allegorical design with a strong stylistic connection to the 30¢ 1869.

The photographs below show a proof of the Stars-and-Stripes vignette on the Lazy Deuce note and a comparison of the draped flags in that engraving with the 30¢ 1869 flags, as well as with the ribbons and stars on the 10¢ stamp. The engraving of the folds in the flags on the 30¢ more closely resembles Delnoce’s flags.
1869 Bicolored Stamp Production

Sheets of each 1869 bicolored stamp were printed from two separate frame and vignette plates (or Eagle-and-Shield and Flags-and-Stars plates for the 30¢). The plates were numbered in order as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stamp</th>
<th>Frame Plate # (Color)</th>
<th>Vignette Plate # (Color)</th>
<th>Printing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15¢ Ty. I</td>
<td>19 (Brown) Type I</td>
<td>19 (Blue)</td>
<td>First (March 1869)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24¢</td>
<td>20 (Green)</td>
<td>20 (Violet)</td>
<td>First (March 1869)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30¢</td>
<td>21 (Flags–Ultramarine)</td>
<td>21 (Eagle &amp; Shield–Carmine)</td>
<td>First (March 1869) and Second (May 1869)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90¢</td>
<td>22 (Carmine)</td>
<td>22 (Black)</td>
<td>First (March 1869) and Second (May 1869)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15¢ Ty. II</td>
<td>23 (Brown) Type II</td>
<td>23 (Blue)</td>
<td>Second (May 1869)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24¢</td>
<td>20 (Green)*</td>
<td>24 (Violet)</td>
<td>Second (May 1869)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15¢ Proof</td>
<td>31 (Brown) Type II**</td>
<td>23 (Blue)</td>
<td>1875 proof impressions only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15¢ Ty. III</td>
<td>32 (Brown) Type III</td>
<td>23 (Blue)</td>
<td>1875 Re-issue only (Scott 129)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* There is no evidence that a 24¢ frame plate #24 was made for the Second Printing; it is assumed frame plate #20 was used.

** There is no evidence that a 15¢ vignette plate #31 was made to go with the frame plate #31

Various attempts have been made over the years to explain the enigmatic replacement plates used to print the 15¢ and 24¢ 1869’s. Calvet M. Hahn believed there was a strong possibility that each of the original 15¢ and 24¢ vignette plates had an inverted entry, which went undetected until sheets were printed and distributed to post offices. Hahn relied on some contemporary reports to theorize that the Post Office Department only allowed normal half-sheets of 50 to be sold and held back the error half-sheets, except for one 15¢ stamp sold shortly after issue to a New York City stamp dealer named David H. Anthony (Chronicle 125, February 1985). Hahn’s theory does not have widespread support, because an early-issue 15¢ stamp would have to be a Type I, and no such stamp is known to have existed.

Dr. Irvin Heimburger has presented a well-reasoned theory that the three 15¢ types, which differ from one another in the frame surrounding the vignette, were produced from three separate dies, of which Type III was the first (Chronicle 189, February 2001). Dr. Heimburger argues that because the Type III variety is the simplest design—without any shading lines or the diamond-shaped ornament at top—and because 15¢ pre-issue essays were pulled from this Type III die, it must be the first die produced. The Type III die was used again in 1875 when the National Bank Note Company made a new frame plate for the re-issue (Scott 129). The 15¢ stamps issued in 1869 were printed from plates made from two modified dies. Type I (Scott 118) has additional background lines around the vignette to improve the stamps’ appearance when the two colors were slightly misregistered. Type II (Scott 119 and the inverts) takes the same approach and has an expanded ornamental frame along the top.

The 1869 Pictorial stamps were first placed on sale at the New York post office on March 23. According to the Commercial Advertiser of that date, “the new postage stamps are being delivered at the General Office this morning.” The earliest documented date of use is March 27 (3¢). Stamps from the second 1869 printing were in circulation by May 1869. Based on Post Office Department records of issued stamps and remainders of the 1869’s still on hand on July 1, 1870, the quantities for each of the bicolored high-value 1869 stamps are: 15¢–1,438,840 (an estimated 110,000 to 140,000 were Type I); 24¢–235,250; 30¢–254,010; and 90¢–47,360. On September 6, 1869, the New York Tribune and New York Herald reported for the first time that a new set of stamps would be issued to replace the 1869 Pictorial Issue, based on an announcement by Third Assistant Postmaster General Terrell. In December 1869 newspapers reported that the designs for the new issue had been made. In March-April 1870, only one year after the 1869’s made their appearance, they were replaced by the new 1870 Portrait series.

Just as the 1869 Pictorial Issue became postally obsolete, interest in stamp collecting was growing. In fact, the world’s first auction of collectible stamps was held on May 28, 1870, in New York City by the firm of Leavitt, Strebeigh & Company.